



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/519,546	03/06/2000	Frederik Ekkel	US-000014	8972
7:	590 06/30/2003			
c/o PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION Corporate Intellectual Property 1000 West Maude Ave			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, ERIC	
Sunnyvale, CA	94085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2185	1/2-
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			129			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	• •			
Advisory Action	09/519,546	EKKEL ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
!	Eric Chang	2185				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	pars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	evoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper relich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dather and the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	M. La	_			
0 □ Other:						
_ <del></del>		iomas lee Y patent examinei				
	TECHNOL	OGY CENTER 2100	Н			
Palent and Trademark Office	····					

Applica

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As cited on page 3 of the Office Action, Liebenow does indeed teach that a remote server may be used to control multimedia devices, wherein the instructions to configure the playback of multimedia information is stored on a server and may be retrieved over a network [col. 9, lines 41-45], substantially as claimed. Furthermore, Liebenow discloses that the deficiency solved by the teaching comprises the complexity of configuring the multitude of settings for the multimedia device, and that settings may also be stored for multiple users [col. 1, lines 29-50].